



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-25411-2025

Date of Decision:-31.07.2025

Raja Singh @ Raja.

.....Petitioner.

Vs.

State of Punjab.

.....Respondent.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present:- Mr. Raghav Soni, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. Harkanwar Jeet Singh, AAG Punjab.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.(ORAL)

The Prayer in this petition under Section 483 of Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 is for the grant of regular bail in case FIR No.281 dated 23.12.2024 under Sections 109, 125, 194(2), 191(3), 190 of BNS and Section 25, 27, 54, 59 of Arms Act registered at Police Station Sadar, Amritsar.

2. The brief facts of the case are that on the basis of secret information, the instant FIR came to be registered with the allegations that the present petitioner and his associates gave blows of their swords to Monu etc. due to which Monu suffered injuries on his head and face. A cross version came to be registered at the instance of the present petitioner vide GD No.42 dated 24.12.2024 as per which Sonu gave sword blows on the petitioner.

3. The counsel for the petitioner contends that it is a case of



version and cross version. As the petitioner is in custody since 26.12.2024 but none of the 17 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far, the trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon and therefore, he is entitled to the concession of bail.

4. The State counsel on the other hand has filed short reply by way of affidavit of Mr. Rishabh Bhola, IPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, North, Amritsar in the court today which is taken on record. While referring to the said reply he contends that the allegations against the petitioner are grave. Therefore, he is not entitled to the concession of bail. He however, concedes that it is a case of version and cross version, that the petitioner is in custody since 26.12.2024 and that none of the 17 Pws have been examined so far.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

6. The veracity of the prosecution case against the petitioner shall be adjudicated upon during the course of the Trial. Admittedly, the petitioner is a first time offender, in custody since 26.12.2024 and none of the 17 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far. As the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon, the further incarceration of the petitioner is not required.

10. Thus without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner-**Raja Singh @ Raja** son of Sh. Karnail Singh is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned CJM/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

11. The petitioner (or anyone on his behalf) shall prepare an FDR in the sum of Rs.50,000/- and deposit the same with the Trial Court. The same would be liable to be forfeited as per law in case of the absence of the



CRM-M-25411-2025

#3#

petitioner from trial without sufficient cause.

13. The petition stands disposed of.

**(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE**

July 31, 2025

Vinay

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>