



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-15049-2000 (O & M)**

**Date of Decision: 08.04.2025**

SI Mahi Ram

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondent(s)

AND

**CWP-5026-2002**

Krishan Gopal

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL**

Present: None for the petitioner (in CWP-15049-2000).

Ms. Pooja Sareen, Advocate,  
for the petitioner (in CWP-5026-2002).

Mr. Raman Sharma, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

**JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)**

1. By this common order, two civil writ petitions i.e. CWP-15049-2000 and CWP-5026-2002 are hereby adjudicated as common questions of facts and



law are involved in both petitions. For the sake of convenience and with the consent of parties, the facts are borrowed from *CWP-15049-2000*.

2. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 03.07.2000 (Annexure P-4) whereby his pay was reduced and fixed in the rank of Head Constable.

3. The petitioner joined Haryana Police Force as Constable on 28.01.1970. He was promoted as Head Constable in 1980. In 1988, he was promoted as Assistant Sub Inspector on *ad hoc* basis. He was further promoted as Sub Inspector on *ad hoc* basis in November' 1989. By impugned order dated 03.07.2000, his pay was reduced and his substantive rank was fixed as Head Constable and rank of ASI was made O.R.P. ('Own Rank and Pay') on the basis of Policy dated 22.12.1999.

4. This Court while issuing notice of motion stayed operation of impugned order on 06.11.2000. The petition was admitted vide order dated 24.09.2001.

5. Mr. Raman Sharma, Addl. A.G., Haryana submits that petitioner has already retired on attaining the age of superannuation.

6. As the petitioner has retired, there seems no reason to disturb his pay and rank because during the intervening period, he has already enjoyed higher pay and rank on account of stay granted by this Court. Accordingly, order dated 06.11.2000 is hereby made absolute. There would be no recovery from petitioner and this order shall not be treated as precedent.

2025:PHHC:048708



7. Disposed of.

8. Pending application, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

08.04.2025

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)

shivani

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking

Yes

Whether reportable

No