



116 (3 cases)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Date of Decision: 26.08.2025
CWP-3110-2017 (O&M)**

1.

Randhir Singh and ors.

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and ors.

.....Respondents

2.

CWP-14766-2018 (O&M)

Rajwati and anr.

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and ors.

.....Respondents

3.

CWP-23377-2022 (O&M)

Chanderwati

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and ors.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Amit Jain, Advocate
for petitioners No.2 and 4 (CWP-3110-2017)

Mr. Rohit Joshi, Advocate and
Ms. Sureya Gautam, Advocate
for the petitioner (CWP-23377-2022)

Ms. Komal Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ranjit Saini, Advocate
for respondent No.4 (CWP-3110-2017)
for respondents No.4 & 5 (CWP-14766-2018)

Mr. Aditya Jain, Advocate and
Mr. Rahul Vohra, Advocate
for respondent No.4 (CWP-23377-2022)



HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

This order of mine shall dispose of all the above said writ petitions by way of a common order as identical facts are involved therein. For convenience, facts are being taken from CWP-3110-2017.

2. The short dispute in all the aforementioned petitions relate to the apportionment of compensation payable to Bhagat Singh son of Uday Singh whose share in the joint land situated within the revenue estate of Village Jharsa, District Gurugram came to be acquired vide notifications dated 11.12.2013 and 10.12.2014 issued under Sections 4 & 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, for short 'the Act', followed by an award dated 09.12.2016 against which a sum of Rs.12.05 crores was deposited with the learned Reference Court at Gurugram.

3. At this stage, it may be relevant to give pedigree table of Uday Singh:-

UDAY SINGH					
Chandrawati (Daughter)	Rajwanti (Daughter)	Beer Singh (Son)	Jagat Singh (son) (since deceased)		Bhagat Singh (Son)
			Randhir Singh (Son)	Naresh Kumar (Son)	

4. Vide order dated 12.09.2014 passed in GW-1 of 2014 filed under Section 71 of the Indian Lunacy Act, 2012, at the instance of Mahipal Singh son of Uday Singh by impleading General Public, Mahipal was appointed as Guardian of his brother Bhagat Singh.



5. Assailing the said order, following two petitions were came to be filed:-

(a) GW-9/2017 filed by Rajwati, Beer Singh, Randhir Singh and Naresh Kumar against Mahipal and other official respondents which is pending for 11.09.2025; and

(b) GW-16/2018 titled as Chanderwati Vs. Mahipal and another.

In both the above petitions, applications under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC came to be filed at the instance of Mahipal son of Uday Singh which were allowed on 05.12.2024. However, aggrieved thereof, FAO No.518 of 2025 was filed from the proceedings arising out of GW-9/2017 and the said appeal came to be allowed by this Court vide its order dated 29.01.2025, thereby restoring GW-9/2018 for its adjudication.

6. Similarly, FAO No. 1724 of 2025 came to be preferred at the instance of Chanderwati from the proceedings arising out of GW16/2018 against the order dated 05.12.2024, wherein notice of motion has been issued and the same is pending for 20.09.2025 before this Court.

7. All the parties, who are sons and daughters of deceased Uday Singh and brothers and sisters of Bhagat Singh have arrived at a concurrence that all the three cases can be disposed of at this stage awaiting the final outcome of the abovementioned two petitions i.e. GW-9/2017 and GW-16/2018.



8. Moreover, the prayer in the three petitions is with respect to release of the compensation amount which even otherwise stands guarded under the orders passed by this Court on 21.02.2017 in CWP No. 3110 of 2017.

9. In view of the aforesaid stand taken by brothers and sisters of Bhagat Singh, all the three abovementioned petitions are disposed of at this stage. However, the parties shall remain bound by the final outcome of the above mentioned two petitions i.e. GW-9/2017 and GW-16/2018.

10. At this stage, it has been brought to the notice of this Court by learned counsel for respondent No.4 that the amount of compensation payable to Bhagat Singh has already been deposited with Canara Bank, Vikas Sadan, Shanti Nagar, Gurugram in the year 2019. Thus, with a trivial modification of the order dated 21.02.2017 passed by this Court, a direction is issued to the concerned Bank that the interest accrued on the aforesaid deposits be released in favour of Mahipal son of Uday Singh, admittedly with whom Bhagat Singh is residing since long.

11. Pending misc. application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

26.08.2025
sanjay

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?
Whether Reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No