



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(102)

CWP-7246-2025

Date of Decision : March 18, 2025

Snehlata

.. Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Kamal Sharma, Advocate, for
Mr. Amit Arora, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the remedy against the impugned order by filing second appeal is before the State Information Commission, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to avail appropriate remedy.

2. Ordered accordingly.

March 18, 2025

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No