

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDI GARH

Arbitration Case No. 11 of 2017 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 26.05.2017

Citi bank Petitioner
versus
One Mobile Systems Pvt. Ltd. Respondent

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. J. VAZIFDAR, CHIEF JUSTICE

Present: Mr. Rajat Nakra and Mr. Sorabh Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner
Mr. Uddyam Mukherjee, Advocate for the respondent
..

S. J. VAZIFDAR, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral):

This is an application under section 11 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, for appointment of an Arbitrator.

2. The parties had, admittedly, entered into a contract, clause 12(iii) whereof contains an arbitration agreement. The execution of the agreement is not denied. Whether the respondent's officers had the authority to execute the agreement or not and whether the parties acted pursuant to the agreement or not must be decided by the Learned Arbitrator.

3. The respondent has no objection to the appointment of the Arbitrator suggested by the petitioner.

4. The petition is accordingly disposed of by appointing Ms. Kanwal Inder, District & Sessions Judge (Retd.), Delhi, as a sole Arbitrator.

26.05.2017
parkash*

(S. J. VAZIFDAR)
CHIEF JUSTICE

NOTE:

Whether speaking/non-speaking: Speaking
Whether reportable: YES/NO