



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

105

**CR-5006-2024(O&M)
Decided on:27.02.2025**

Purshotam and another

... Petitioners

Versus

Kewal Krishan and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. Sandeep Khunger, Advocate and
Mr. Gulshan K. Moudgill, Advocate
for the petitioners.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. Challenge in the present Civil Revision Petition is to the impugned order dated 22.11.2018 passed by the Rent Controller, Abohar vide which the eviction petition filed by the respondent No.1 has been allowed. Challenge is also to the judgment of the Appellate Court dated 11.07.2024 vide which the appeal filed by the petitioners has been dismissed.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners, at the outset, has submitted that since the respondent No.1 has taken the possession, thus, the present petition be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. It is further submitted that however, it is the case of the petitioners that the property of which the respondent No.1 has taken possession, the respondent No.1 is not the owner of the same and thus, in view of the same, the petitioners seek liberty to initiate appropriate proceedings.



3. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous with the aforesaid liberty.

4. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the maintainability or otherwise or the merits of any such proceedings that the petitioners might institute and the same would be decided independently, in accordance with law, after hearing both the parties.

5. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of with the abovesaid order.

27.02.2025

Mehak

*Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?*

*Yes/~~No~~
~~Yes~~/No*

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**