

2025:PHHC:010872



146 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**COCP-80-2025 (O&M)**  
**Decided on:-24.01.2025**

Rashmi Kohli

....Petitioner..

vs.

Sanyam Aggarwal, Director,  
Deptt. of Higher Education, Punjab

...Respondent.

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA**

Present: Mr. Ashu Kaushik, Advocate for  
Mr. Harkirat Singh Sandhu, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Athar Ahmed, DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*

**HARKESH MANUJA J. (Oral)**

1. Learned counsel for the respondent, on instructions from Mr. Sarun, Law Officer, Deptt. of Higher Education, Punjab, submits that upon consideration of representation submitted by the petitioner, a speaking order shall be passed within a period of 01 week from today with respect to her grievance of issuance of appointment letter to the candidate having no merit as compared to the petitioner.

2. In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner does not want to press the present petition.

3. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed as not pressed. Rule stands discharged.

4. However, in case the needful is not done within the afore-stated

period, as per undertaking, the petitioner would be at liberty to seek revival of the present contempt petition and in that eventuality, the erring concerned officer(s) would be liable to pay additional sum of Rs.50,000/- as costs from his/her own pocket in favour of the petitioner towards litigation expenses, immediately, i.e. on the first date of listing of revival application.

24.01.2025

sonika

Whether speaking/reasoned:  
Whether reportable:

Yes/No  
Yes/ No

**(HARKESH MANUJA)**  
**JUDGE**