



133                    **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-29470-2025**

**Date of Decision:30.09.2025**

Manjit Kaur

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

**Coram :**    **Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat**

**Present :**    Ms. Riti Aggarwal, Advocate  
                  for the petitioner.

\*\*\*

**N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)**

1.                The present petitioner has filed the present petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India with a prayer to issue a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant the pension equal to 50% of the emoluments or average emoluments received by her during the last 10 months whichever is beneficial to her in view of the judgment dated 20.01.2025 passed by the Hon'ble Court in CWP No 18198 of 2016 as the petitioner is receiving pension, which is lower as per Clause 4.2 in memo No.3/23/09 3FPPC/885 dated 17.08.2009 issued by Department of Finance. A further prayer has been made to direct the respondents to revise the pension with all the consequential benefits and interest on the delayed payments, which has been illegally withheld by the respondent authorities.

2.                Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the case of the petitioner is squarely covered by the ratio of the law laid down by this Court in **CWP-18198-2016 (O&M)**, titled as **“Baldev Singh Brar vs. State of Punjab”** dated 20.01.2025 (**Annexure P-10**).

3. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner has already submitted a representation dated 04.05.2025 (Annexure P-11) to the respondents and she shall be satisfied in case, appropriate directions are issued to respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 04.05.2025 (Annexure P-11) in a time bound manner.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents and has no serious objection to the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, at this stage.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

7. At this stage, it would be appropriate to direct respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 04.05.2025 (Annexure P-11) in view of the law laid down by this Court in CWP-18198-2016 (O&M), titled as **“Baldev Singh Brar vs. State of Punjab”** dated 20.01.2025 (Annexure P-10) within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

8. Needless to observe that respondent No.2 shall pass a speaking and well reasoned order in the light of the above referred judgment as well as relevant rules/instructions and in case, the petitioner is found entitled to any consequential relief, the same may also be released to her forthwith alongwith a reasonable rate of interest.

9. Disposed of.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)

JUDGE

30.09.2025

hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No