



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-62607-2024

Date of Decision:17.07.2025

Khushpal Singh

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present: Mr. Preetwinder Singh Dhaliwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. I.P.S Sabharwal, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of B.N.S.S with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to him in case FIR No.200, dated 30.05.2024, under Sections 419,420,406,465,468,471,120-B of IPC, registered at Police Station Zirakpur, District SAS Nagar (Annexure P-1).
2. Vide order dated 12.12.2024 the following order was passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court and the same has been reproduced below:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He further contends that the petitioner happens to be an Advocate who has signed on the documents on the asking of his Deed Writer Mr. Lakshay Jain, in good faith and he has no concern with the alleged transaction nor is he beneficiary thereof. Hence, seeks anticipatory bail”.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has reiterated the submissions

and submitted that Lakshay Jain, co-accused has been granted the concession of anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 07.07.2025. He further contends that even Ashok Kumar, co-accused has been granted the concession of anticipatory bail.

4. On the other hand learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner had identified Gurdev Singh, Vendor, who had already expired. However, he admits that Lakshay Jain and Ashok Kumar co-accused have already been granted the concession of anticipatory bail by this Court.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

6. In the considered opinion of this Court, the case is based on documentary evidence and the custodial interrogation of the petitioner may not be required. Moreover, Lakshay Jain and Ashok Kumar co-accused have already been granted the concession of anticipatory bail by this Court.

7. Thus, without commenting any further, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is granted concession of anticipatory bail, subject to the conditions as provided under Sections 482 (2) of B.N.S.S. It will be open for the Investigating Officer to call the petitioner to join the investigation, if so required, by issuing a written notice in this regard and he shall abide by the conditions mentioned in Section 482 (2) of B.N.S.S.

17.07.2025
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No