

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

101

**COCP No.420 of 2020 (O&M)****Date of decision : 05.09.2025**

Sukhdev Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

Manoj Kumar and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA**

Present:- Ms. Komal Mohindra, Advocate for  
Ms. Satwant Mehta, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. B.S. Bedi, Additional A.G. Punjab

**NIDHI GUPTA J. (Oral)**

1. The present contempt petition has been filed alleging violation of the orders dated 30.09.2019 and 30.10.2019 (Annexures P-2 and P-3 respectively) passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CRM-M-24506-2019 titled as "*Sukhdev Singh and another vs. State of Punjab*". Vide the above mentioned criminal miscellaneous petition, the petitioners had sought anticipatory bail from this Court in FIR No. 57 dated 14.04.2019; and vide order dated 30.10.2019, anticipatory bail had been granted to the petitioners with a direction to the petitioners that they shall join the investigation as and when required by the police and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners were arrested again, despite grant of anticipatory bail.
3. Learned State counsel on instructions from SHO Avtar Singh, Police Station Tarn Taran points out that an offence under Section 3(1) of SC/ST Act was



**COCP No.420 of 2020 (O&M)**

**-2-**

added in the FIR No. 57 dated 14.04.2019 registered under Sections 323, 324, 326, 148 and 149 IPC at Police Station Sadar Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran on 04.11.2019; thereafter, the petitioners were arrested on 06.11.2019 and were released on bail on 21.11.2019. He submits that there is no violation of order dated 30.10.2019. Learned State counsel substantiates his above said submissions by referring to the averments made in para No. 3 of the short reply dated 06.09.2022 filed by way of affidavit of Ravinder Pal Singh, PPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, Charge & Rank Command Center, Amritsar on behalf of respondent No. 3, wherein it is *inter alia* stated that “the complainant Surinder Kaur had got recorded her statement before the SHO upon which offence under Section 3(1) R/SCST Act vide DDR No. 36 dated 04.11.2019 was added to the FIR No. 57 dated 14.04.2019 registered under Sections 323, 324, 326, 148 and 149 IPC at Police Station Sadar Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran”. It is pointed out that fresh FIR No. 50 of 2020 has been registered against the petitioners under Section 302 IPC at Police Station Sadar Tarn Taran. He also submits that there are several FIRs pending against the petitioners and they are habitual offenders.

4. Heard.

5. Keeping in view the above noted submissions made by learned State counsel, no further directions are required to be passed in the present petition, and the same is **disposed of** accordingly.

6. Rule stands discharged.

7. Pending application(s), if any, shall stands disposed of.

**05.09.2025**

*reena*

**(NIDHI GUPTA)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes / No  
Whether Reportable Yes / No