

256

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARHCOCP-2321-2019  
Date of decision: 12.09.2019

RAJ KUMAR SHARMA

.. PETITIONER

VERSUS

S.K. GAKHAR, VICE CHANCELLOR, INDIRA GANDHI  
UNIVERSITY MEERPUR AND ANR

.. RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

**Present:** Mr. Manoj Kaushik Advocate for  
Mr. Karan Bhardwaj, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Advocate  
for respondent No. 2.

\*\*\*\*

**AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)**

A short reply on behalf of respondent No. 2, filed in Court, is taken on record. A copy of the same is handed over to learned counsel for the petitioners. In the reply, it has been pleaded as under:-

*“The perusal of above orders shows that the answering respondent is restrained to give effect to the proposed contractual appointment on the post of Assistant Registrar pursuant to the advertisement dated 23.05.2019. It is also pertinent to mention here that the order dated 30.05.2019 passed by this Hon'ble High Court is received in the answering University on 10.06.2019. On received of order, Sh. Mukesh was relieved from the post of Assistant Registrar immediately. Copy of relieving order dated 14.06.2019 is annexed as Annexure R-1/1.”*

Learned counsel for the respondents further states that in the

meantime, the respondents are not making any appointment of Assistant Registrar in pursuant to the advertisement dated 23.05.2019.

In view of the said pleadings and the statements made, no further interference is called for in the present contempt petition.

Disposed of.

Rule issued against the respondents is discharged.

**12<sup>th</sup> September, 2019**

*shabha*

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)  
JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned* : *Yes/No*

*Whether reportable* : *Yes/No*