



CRWP -8425-2025

-1-

117           **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-8425-2025  
Date of decision: 07.08.2025**

DALBIR SINGH

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA**

Present: Ms. Himani Kapila and  
Ms. Ramandeep Kaur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Akshay Kumar, Asst. AG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)**

The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the lives and personal liberty of the petitioner and his family with a further prayer not to implicate the petitioner's son Gurpreet singh in false cases as he has already been implicated in FIR No.14 dated 19.03.2025, under Sectins 25 of Arms Act, 1959, 61(2) of BNS, 2023 (later on Sections 21/25/29 of NDPS Act, 1985 was added) registered at Police Station State Special Operation Cell, Amritsar by taking action on the representation dated 19.05.2025 (Annexure P-2).

2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be contended and satisfied, if representation dated 19.05.2025 (Annexure P-2) is decided in a time bound manner.

3. Notices are served upon the official respondents through the State's counsel. Given the nature of the order that this Court proposes to pass, neither the response of official respondents nor the issuance of notices to the private respondents is required.

4. Let the representation dated 19.05.2025 (Annexure P-2) be decided by the concerned DIG-respondent No.2 on or before 31.08.2025, either himself/herself or by authorizing and delegating it to any officer holding PCS cadre. It is clarified that such order must be a reasoned order, and the same be communicated to the representationists without delay.

5. Liberty reserved to the petitioner to file fresh petition or to take other legal remedies in accordance with the law.



**CRWP -8425-2025**

6. It is clarified that there is no adjudication on merits. It is further clarified that this order shall not come in the way if the interrogation of the petitioner is required in any cognizable case.

7. *There would be no need for a certified copy of this order, and any Advocate for the Petitioner and State can download this order and other relevant particulars from the official web page of this court and attest it to be a true copy. The concerned officer can also verify its authenticity and may download and use the downloaded copy for immediate use.*

**8. Petition is allowed to the extent mentioned above.** All pending applications, if any, stand disposed.

07.08.2025

*renubala*

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)**

**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether reportable: No