



CRM-M-22641-2025 (O&amp;M)

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

101+207

CRM-18833-2025 in/and  
CRM-M-22641-2025  
Date of decision : 27.05.2025

Vinay Mahey

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL**

Present:- Mr. Hakam Singh, Advocate and  
Ms. Amrita Negi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manvir Singh Toor, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate for the complainant.

\*\*\*

**H.S. Grewal, J.(Oral)****CRM-18833-2025**

This application has been filed for placing on record the copy of the discharge summary, doctor's advise and CD as Annexures P-4 to P-6.

2. Heard.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed and Annexures P-4 to P-6 are taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

**Main case**

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 by the petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.15 dated 21.02.2025,



**CRM-M-22641-2025 (O&M)**

**-2-**

under Sections 109, 115(2), 191 (3) and 190 of BNS, 2023 registered at Police Station Division No.1, Jalandhar, Distt. Jalandhar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that it is alleged in the FIR that there was a minor dispute between co-accused Vijay Kumar @ Munna and the son of the complainant, namely, Namit Sangwal and the accused persons including the petitioner had caused injuries to the complainant as well as his son. He further submits that there is a delay of 09 days in lodging the FIR and no specific role has been attributed to the petitioner. He further submits that one of the co-accused, namely, Deepanshu Paul @ Gandhi has been granted anticipatory bail by this Court in CRM-M-18570-2025 on 23.05.2025 and another co-accused, namely, Vijay Kumar @ Munna has been granted interim bail by the trial Court.

3. Learned State counsel has filed short reply by way of an affidavit of Assistant Commissioner of Police (North), Commissionerate, Jalandhar in Court which is taken on record. He, while referring to the reply, submits that the petitioner has attributed a *datar* blow on the backside of the head of the son of the complainant, namely, Namit Sangwal suffered grievous injury on the head and as a result thereof, the said injured is still hospitalized.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the complainant has vehemently opposed the concession of bail to the petitioner on the ground that he has caused grievous injury to the son of the complainant which has been declared as dangerous to life.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record.



6. It is the case of the prosecution that in the beginning, a minor dispute had taken place in the office of co-accused Vijay Kumar @ Munna with the son of the complainant, namely, Namit Sangwal who had called his father Raj Kumar(complainant) to the office of Vijay @ Munna and while he was standing outside the office, Vijay Kumar @ Munna along with other co-accused came at the spot carrying datars and khanda in their hands. First of all, co-accused Morish Sodhi gave blow of datar on left shoulder of Namit Sangwal. Vinay (present petitioner) gave blow of datar on the back side of the son of the complainant due to which he fell on the ground. When the complainant came forward to rescue his son, he was also caused injuries by the accused party.

7. In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the fact that the petitioner has caused grievous injury on the head of the injured-Namit Sangwal, this Court is not inclined to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner as the custodial interrogation is essential for proper investigation in this case.

8. Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

9. However, it is clarified that the observations made hereinabove would not have any bearing on the merits of the case.

27.05.2025  
A.Kaundal

**(H.S.GREWAL)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No