



**115 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-20566-2025

Date of Decision: 06.08.2025

PANKAJ

...PETITIONER

Vs.

CENTRAL BANK OF INDIA JIND

...RESPONDENT

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: Ms. Sapna Lather, Advocate for
Mr. DPS Bajwa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Goel, Advocate and
Mr. Teginder Singh, Advocate
for respondent-Bank.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

1. It is the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner was working with the respondent-Bank as Sweeper (daily wages), however, he has been dis-engaged by the respondent-Bank without following the procedure as laid down by the respondent-Bank.

2. *Prima facie* the issue would thus pertain to an unlawful retrenchment/termination of services of the petitioner by the respondent-Bank which would be amenable to the remedy of the Labour Court.

3. In view of the above, the instant writ petition stands **disposed of** at this stage with liberty to the petitioner to avail alternative remedy available under the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

06.08.2025

Rahul Joshi

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No