



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-11166-2025

Date of Decision:18.03.2025

Tirthankar Rakshit and others

...Petitioners

vs.

State of Haryana and Anr.

...Respondents

Coram : **Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat**

Present: Mr. R.S Rai, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Rohit Khanna, Advocate
Mr. Rohit Chandel, Advocate
Ms. Rubina Vermani, Advocate
Mr. Arjun Singh Rai, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Girdhar, Additional A.G with
Mr. Rajinder Kumar Banku, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate and
Mr. Vaneet Soni, Advocate
for the complainant.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 528 of B.N.S.S with a prayer to quash the impugned complaint dated 14.09.2024 (Annexure P-1) filed by respondent No.2 before the Superintendent of Police, Ambala, which was being investigated by different police officials in District Ambala.

2. Learned State counsel submits that the police of District Ambala had received the complaints against the petitioners, however, the enquiry was held and it was found to be a matter of financial transaction between the parties and the enquiry has been closed. With regard to the remaining 4405 complaints,

which were pending with the various police officials in District Ambala. Learned State counsel further submits that 4209 complaints have been disposed of and 196 complaints are pending with the police for consideration.

3. Learned State counsel has further assured the Court that the provisions of Section 173 of B.N.S.S shall be complied with by the District Police Ambala in letter and spirit.

4. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, no further directions are required and the petition is accordingly, disposed of.

5. Needless to observe that both the parties shall be at liberty to avail their alternative remedies, in accordance with law.

18.03.2025
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No