



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

220

CRM-M-47551-2025
Date of decision: 14.10.2025

MOMRAJ

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : Ms. Mansi, Advocate for
Mr. B.K. Majoka, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. R.K. Ambavta, DAG, Haryana.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR. J.(Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.76, dated 15.4.2025, under Sections 316(2), 318(4), 351(3) read with Sections 3(5) of BNS, 2023 registered at Police Station NIT Faridabad, District Faridabad.
2. Status report by way of affidavit of Monica, HPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, NIT, Faridabad has been filed on behalf of respondent-State and the same is taken on record.
3. On 28.08.2025, following order was passed:-

“Prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in criminal case having FIR No.76 dated 15.4.2025 registered under Sections 316(2), 318(4), 351(3) read with Section 3(5) of BNS, 2023 at Police Station NIT Faridabad, District Faridabad.

As per allegations in the FIR lodged by Ajay Shukla, Deputy Manager, Satya E-Com Service, proprietor of Naresh Pan Bhandar had placed an order for cold drinks and cigarettes on 24.3.2025 and when the delivery boy reached the said shop, the shop was found closed, on which, he made a phone call and then the shopkeeper arrived. He made phone call to someone and thereafter,



- 2-

Momraj petitioner arrived who was earlier employed in the warehouse and had left the job in February, 2025. Said Momraj along with another person forcibly took away the articles without making payment in an auto rickshaw and went away and he sought action against him.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that the petitioner has been falsely implicated. There was a dispute over wages between the petitioner and said Satya E-Com service and the present FIR has been registered just to settle the scores. The incident had taken place on 24.3.2025 but FIR has been lodged on 15.4.2025 after due deliberations and concoctions. Infact, the complainant in collusion with the police had got his brother beaten in the police station regarding which his brother has lodged FIR No.67 dated 8.4.2025 under Sections 115, 117(2) of BNS at PS NIT Faridabad against Sudeep Sangwan, Police Officer who had broken his jaw. He has also made a complaint to Chief Minister, Haryana against Satya E-Com company for not paying his wages on 4.4.2025. Learned counsel further contended that the learned Additional Sessions Judge had granted him ad-interim anticipatory bail vide order dated 28.7.2025 and in pursuance thereof, he had joined the investigation but the bail application has been dismissed vide order dated 8.8.2025 with the observations that the petitioner had not cooperated during the investigation and has not got the articles recovered. Learned counsel further contended that neither any order was placed by the petitioner nor he has taken away the articles and since the dispute with the complainant company is over his wages, he has been falsely implicated. Learned counsel further contended that he is ready to join the investigation and to abide by the conditions that may be imposed by the Court and benefit of anticipatory bail be extended in his favour.

Notice of motion.



- 3-

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State and has opposed the bail and argued that the recovery is yet to be effected for which custodial interrogation of the petitioner is essential and he does not deserve the benefit of anticipatory bail.

Adjourned to 14.10.2025 for filing status report.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is hereby directed to join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be released on ad-interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when required by the Investigating Officer. He shall further comply with the conditions stipulated in Section 482(2) of BNSS/438(2) of Cr.P.C.”

4. Today learned State counsel has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 28.08.2025 and is no longer required for further investigation.
5. In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 28.08.2025, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.
6. Disposed of.
7. Pending misc application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

14.10.2025
amandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No