



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

108

CR-3224-2024

Decided on:08.01.2025

Bhuru (Deceased) through LRs

... Petitioner

Versus

Ram Saran Dass (Deceased) through LRs

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHLPRESENT: Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Bawa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. The present Civil Revision Petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the impugned order dated 15.05.2024 passed by the Additional Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Punhana vide which the warrant of possession of the decretal property was issued.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in the present case, warrants of possession has already been executed and the possession has been delivered to the respondent/plaintiff/decreed holder and thus, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.
3. In view of the above, present Civil Revision Petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

08.01.2025

Mehak

*Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?*

*Yes/~~No~~
~~Yes~~/No*

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**