



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

111

**CWP-18084-2025 (O&M)
Decided on :04.07.2025**

NEETU

..Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

PRESENT: Mr. Vaneet Mittal, Advocate for
Mr. Vishal Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, DAG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the challenge is to the impugned order dated 22.05.2025 (Annexure P-4) by which, House No. FH-03, Canal Colony, M. G. Road, Gurugram, which was earlier allotted to the petitioner way back on 12.07.2024 (Annexure P-3) vide officer order No. 1171/4-G, has now been allotted to another I.A.S. officer, which act on the part of the respondents is arbitrary and illegal. .

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that once, the aforesaid accommodation has already been allotted to the petitioner, it cannot be cancelled at later stage so as to accommodate any other superior officer, hence, the order passed by respondent No. 3- District Magistrate, Gurugram is liable to be set aside.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on

**CWP-18084-2025 (O&M) -2-**

behalf of the respondent-State and on instructions submits that impugned order dated 22.05.2025 (Annexure P-4) be treated as withdrawn and the petitioner will retain the aforesaid accommodation allotted to her vide order dated 12.07.2024 (Annexure P-3).

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement made by the learned counsel for the respondents-State recorded herein above, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

04.07.2025

Riya

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No