



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

214

**CRM-M-5911-2025
Decided on : 06.02.2025**

Priyanka Kheterpal

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. R.S. Rai, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Siddharth Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Mayuri Lakhanpal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rao Ajender Singh, Advocate
for the complainant.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, during the pendency of trial, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner(s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Priyanka Kheterpal	312	27.06.2024	420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC	Badshahpur,	Gurugram (Haryana)

2. The total amount for which the aforementioned FIR was lodged against the petitioner, along with some other accused, was to the tune of Rs. 1.1 Crore. As per the statement of the learned Senior Counsel, the complete amount, which was under dispute and was required to be paid by the petitioner to the complainant/victim, has been paid and duly received. In a



way, the dispute stands resolved, leaving no grouse requiring adjudication at this stage. The petitioner has been inside jail for the last about 15-20 days, and the longer custody of the petitioner, without anything left to be recovered as a subject matter of the case, would serve no fruitful purpose. Thus, the learned Senior Counsel prays for the grant of concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

3. At this Stage, Mr. Rao Ajender Singh, Advocate, puts in appearance on behalf of the complainant and files his *Vakalatnama*, in Court today, which is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions. Office to tag the same at appropriate place.

He also endorses the submissions made by learned Senior counsel and pleads no objection to the prayer made in the present petition.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel does not dispute the factual assertions addressed by learned Senior counsel *qua* the petitioner. Besides, she also verifies that the petitioner is not involved in any other case of similar nature.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the record with their able assistance.

6. Taking into consideration the statements of the learned counsel for the parties and the factual aspect that the subject matter of the case, i.e., an amount of Rs. 1.1 Crore, has already been paid by the petitioner to the complainant and has also been accepted, I deem it appropriate to grant the concession of bail to the petitioner.

7. Consequently, prayer made in the present petition is **allowed**. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing



bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Illaqa Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

8. Needless to observe that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

9. The observation made here-in-above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case and the Trial Court is expected to decide the case on the basis of complete evidence available on record.

10. Petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

February 06, 2025

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No