

diet, which cannot be provided to him in jail. Even during his custody in jail, he was taken to PGIMER, Chandigarh for treatment and his case deserves sympathetic consideration by this Court.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that two more cases of similar nature have been ordered to be registered against the petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. In the present case, the petitioner is stated to be in custody for the last about four months and challan has already been presented against him. Moreover, the health of the petitioner has further deteriorated and during his custody period also, he was taken to PGIMER, Chandigarh for his treatment.

6. Thus, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

04.07.2025
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No