

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.M.No.18130-CII of 2013 in/and
C.O.C.P.No.1783 of 2011 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-02.09.2013**

Avtar Singh UppalPetitioner(s)

vs.

Avtar Singh SharmaRespondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

Present:- Mr.R.S.Chahal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms.Rajni Gupta, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

MAHESH GROVER, J.

C.M.No.18130-CII of 2013

Additional Affidavit of the petitioner is taken on record.

C.M. is disposed of.

C.O.C.P.No.1783 of 2011

The order of this Court, non-compliance of which has been alleged by the petitioner, required the respondents to consider the claim as set up by the petitioner and release the payment in the event of any amount being found due.

The affidavits filed by the respondent indicate that the payments have been made to the petitioner, which fact is not controverted by the counsel for the petitioner, who, however, states that there is still a short fall of the amount as per his calculations.

The respondent disputes this short fall and states that the entire amount has been paid.

Be that as it may, the question remains that the order of this Court has been complied with in letter and spirit and in case there is some dispute regarding calculations, the petitioner evidently would be at liberty to pursue his claim against the respondent.

The rule against the respondent is discharged and the contempt petition is disposed of.

September 02, 2013
poonam

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE