



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-168-2021

Date of Decision :-29.01.2025

Rajinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Shree Raghunath Mandir Society Registered

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. P.P.S. Duggal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aayush Gupta, Advocate
for the respondent.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Instant petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, *inter alia*, for setting aside the judgment/decreed dated 20.09.2017, Annexure P1, judgment/decreed dated 06.12.2019, Annexure P2, and judgment dated 10.02.2020, Annexure P4.

2. Upon instructions, counsel appearing for the respondent submits that the dispute has been amicably settled and the petition has become infructuous.

3. Counsel for the petitioner submits that as the petitioner has



117 -2-
expired, he does not have any instructions regarding the settlement.

3. Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

4. However, liberty is granted to the LRs of petitioner to seek revival in case the situation is otherwise.

29.01.2025
Brij

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : **Yes/No**
Whether reportable : **Yes/No**