

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

\*\*\*\*

256

CRM-A-116-2021 (O&M)

Decided on 28.07.2025

\*\*\*\*

Vipin Mahajan

... Applicant(s)

VS.

Chaman Lal

... Respondent(s)

\*\*\*\*

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL**

\*\*\*\*

Present: Mr. Daman Batalvi, Advocate for  
Mr. OS Batalvi, Advocate for the applicant

\*\*\*\*

**Sandeep Moudgil, J.**

1. The present application has been preferred under Section 378(4) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter 'Cr.P.C.') seeking grant of leave to appeal the judgment of acquittal dated 06.01.2020 passed by the learned JMIC, Pathankot in a case stemming from complaint dated 30.05.2016 filed under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 (hereinafter 'NI Act').

2. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in *M/s Celestium Financial vs. A. Gnanasekaran Etc., 2025(3) RCR (Criminal) 208*, after considerable discussion and comparative interpretation of Sections 372 and 378(4) of Cr.P.C., concluded that the victim has a right to file an appeal under Section 372 of Cr.P.C. before the Court of Sessions.

3. Therefore, in view of the judgment rendered by the Apex Court in *Celestium Financial (supra)*, the present application seeking leave to appeal is remanded back to the learned Sessions Judge, Pathankot with a direction to treat

the same as filed under Section 372 of the Cr.P.C. and entrust it to appropriate Court for its disposal.

4. The Registry is directed to send the complete paper-book and the record of the case to the learned Sessions Judge, Pathankot forthwith.
5. Disposed of accordingly.
6. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

**28.07.2025**

V.Vishal

**(Sandeep Moudgil)**  
**Judge**

*Whether speaking/reasoned* : *Yes/No*  
*Whether reportable* : *Yes/No*