

2025:PHHC:041357



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT  
CHANDIGARH.**

263

**CWP-1616-2024 (O&M).  
Date of Decision: 26.03.2025.**

**LEHNA SINGH**

... Petitioner

Versus

**STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS**

... Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.**

Present: None for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Rahul Dev, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

**VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)**

As per office report, notice to respondent No.5 could not be issued for want of process fee.

It has been noticed that vide order dated 09.07.2024, fresh notice to respondent No.5, on filing of process fee within a period of 04 weeks, was ordered to be issued and the Registry was directed to inform the counsel for the petitioner about the same and the matter was adjourned to 07.11.2024 on which such date again the needful was not done. Neither the counsel for the petitioner had chosen to appear nor the process was filed.

It has also been informed by the Registry that counsel for the petitioner has been informed through e-mail.

2025:PHHC:041357



On a resumed hearing today, the position remains the same and as per office report, notice to respondent No.5 could not be issued as the process fee has not been filed. Despite email having been sent to the counsel for the petitioner, he has chosen not to appear in the present case.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that prayer made in the present petition was for directing the competent authority to defreeze the bank account of the petitioner which already stands released and is currently in operation as per the reply filed by them.

It seems that the petitioner is no more interested in pursuing the present petition. The same is accordingly dismissed for non-prosecution.

**March 26, 2025.**  
**raj arora**

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)**  
**JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned* : Yes/No

*Whether reportable* : Yes/No