



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.142

CWP-14463-2025

Date of Decision: 19.05.2025

Jai Gopal Gupta

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Jagjeet Beniwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)

The petition has been filed seeking a writ of *certiorari* quashing the order dated 25.11.2024, Annexure P-6, whereby the benefit of one increment to which the petitioner is entitled in terms of the law laid down by the Supreme Court vide order dated 20.02.2025 passed in Miscellaneous Application No.2400 of 2024 in Civil Appeal No.3933 of 2023 titled *Union of India and another v. M. Siddaraj*, has been rejected.

2. At the outset, learned State counsel contends that the impugned order is based upon earlier judgments rendered by different Courts, and needs to be reviewed in terms of the law laid down by a Division Bench vide judgment dated 24.04.2025 rendered in a batch of petitions with CWP No.14627 of 2024 titled *Mahabir Singh Tanwar v. State of Haryana and others*. Accordingly, the Department will reconsider the petitioner's case.

3. Considering the submissions, the petition is allowed. The impugned order, dated 25.11.2024, is set aside, and the third



respondent/Director General, Skill Development and Industrial Training Department is directed to consider the petitioner's case afresh in terms of the law laid down in *Mahabir Singh Tanwar* case, and pass a speaking order in accordance with law within three months of receiving a certified copy of this order.

4. In case the order is not passed within the stipulated period, the officer concerned shall pay costs of ₹50,000 to the petitioner.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

19.05.2025
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No