



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

108

COCP-3996-2023

Date of Decision: 22.09.2025

Surender Kumar

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Ashima Brar, IAS, Director

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present: Mr. Shalender Mohan, Advocate and
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl.A.G., Haryana

SUDEEPTI SHARMA J. (Oral)

1. The present contempt petition has been filed for deliberate and intentional disobedience of order dated 01.05.2023 passed by this Court in CWP-9272-2023, whereby, this Court directed the respondents to decide legal notice dated 15.12.2022 by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

2. Learned State counsel submits that in compliance of order dated 01.05.2023, compliance affidavit of Jitender Kumar, Director Secondary Education, Haryana, Panchkula dated 20.02.2024 along with Annexure R-1, has already been filed before the registry, which is taken on record.

3. The relevant portion of compliance affidavit of Jitender Kumar, Director Secondary Education, Haryana, Panchkula dated 20.02.2024, is reproduced as under:-

“4. That as per State Govt. notification dated 18.08.2008, New Pension Scheme came-into force, which



is applicable to the employees, who have joined on regular basis on or after 01.01.2006 and the petitioner had joined as regular employee on 24.02.2018. Therefore, on the basis of “New Pension Scheme”, the PRAN Number was allotted to him and he had also deposited his share of NPS amount. In this manner, the General Provident Fund attached to Old Pension Scheme is not applicable to him. So in context of the claim of the petitioner a detailed speaking order has been passed vide order no.13/73-2023 HRME-1(6) dated 19.01.2024. The copy of speaking order is annexed herewith as Annexure R-1.”

4. A perusal of above shows that order dated 01.05.2023 passed by this Court in CWP-9272-2023, has been complied with by passing a speaking order dated 19.01.2024. This fact is not disputed by learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. In view of the above, the contempt is purged and rule is discharged.

6. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

7. Needless to say that the petitioner can avail the remedy as is available to him in accordance with law to challenge the speaking order dated 19.01.2024.

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

September 22nd 2025

Sahil

Whether speaking/non-speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No