



CRA-S-2368-SB-2006

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

367

CRA-S-2368-SB-2006 (O & M)

Date of decision: 10.09.2025

LABH SINGH

....Appellant

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. P.S.Sekhon, Advocate,
for the appellant.

Mr. Jasjit Singh, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Challenge in the present appeal is to the order dated 17.10.2006, Annexure A-1, passed by learned Judge, Special Court, Sangrur.

2. The appellant stood surety by furnishing bonds for an amount of Rs.50,000/-for accused-Charno, who was enlarged on bail in case FIR No.48 dated 30.01.2004, under Section 15 NDPS Act, registered at Police Station Sangrur.

3. The accused, however, absented herself from the Court proceedings, following which her bail was cancelled and surety was ordered to be forfeited.

4. The impugned order reveals that the appellant was served with the notice under Section 446 CrPC on 03.05.2006, thus, due

CRA-S-2368-SB-2006

opportunity was afforded to him. The only contention raised at the time of admission of the present appeal on 17.10.2007 was that the appellant being poor person, the penalty was on the higher side.

5. There is no allegation of connivance between the appellant and accused-Charno nor that he had instigated or helped the accused, in any manner, not to appear. It is also not the case set up that he had a whiff that the accused shall make a default in appearing or jump the bail, this Court, thus, finds in the overall facts and circumstances of the present case that the penalty amount deserves to be reduced.

6. In view of the above, the appeal is partly accepted the amount of penalty of Rs.50,000/- imposed upon the appellant vide the impugned order is reduced to Rs.10,000/-.

10.09.2025
parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No