

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.O.C.P.No.1256 of 2013

Date of Decision : 24.9.2013

Hardial Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Anjali Bhawra and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

....

Present: Mr.Pawan Kumar Goklaney, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms.Rajni Gupta, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

.....

MAHESH GROVER, J.

Reply filed. Copy given.

The order of this Court the non-compliance of which has been alleged by the petitioner required the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner for release of the benefits in terms of the precedents mentioned therein.

Pursuant thereto the respondents have decided the claims of the petitioner and released the requisite payments which, however, is not to the satisfaction of the petitioner, who says that the amount disbursed to him is deficient. Be that as it may, since the respondents have sufficiently complied with the orders of this Court, the rule against the respondents is discharged. The petitioner in the eventuality of his having any grievance regarding the shortfall in payment is at liberty to approach the respondents by providing them

C.O.C.P.No.1256 of 2013 -2-

all the details of the shortfall of the payment. If he does this within a period of two weeks from today, the respondents would consider the claim as set up by the petitioner and take an appropriate decision thereon positively within a period of four weeks thereafter.

Petition stands disposed of.

24.9.2013

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

dss