



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
CWP-6297-2025
Date of Decision: 17.03.2025**

GLS INFRATECH PRIVATE LIMITED

...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER

Present: Mr. Anil Mehta, Advocate and
Mr. Prashant Kapila, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Upasana Dhawan, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate and
Mr. Nischal Chetanya Manchanda, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3-M.C Sohna.

HARSH BUNGER, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the present petition, filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, 1950, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* to direct the respondents to remove the encroachment 7 karam wide *rasta* in terms of order dated 20.02.2025 passed by the Director, Town and Country Planning, Haryana (Annexure P-14).

2. Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate and Mr. Nischal Chetanya Manchanda, Advocate have put in appearance and filed their common *Vakalatnama* on behalf of respondents No.2 and 3 in the Court today, which is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions. Learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 submits that neither the petitioner nor anyone else from the office of Town and Country Planning, Haryana has approached them with any application/representation, seeking removal of encroachment over the 7 karam wide *rasta*, as noticed above.

3. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the present Civil Writ Petition so as to enable the petitioner to approach respondent No.3 with a detailed representation seeking removal of encroachment from the 7 karam wide *rasta* in terms of order dated 20.02.2025 (Annexure P-14). He further submits that the time bound direction may be issued for deciding the representation so filed by the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel appearing for respondents No.2 and 3 as well as learned State counsel do not oppose the said course of action being adopted.

5. Keeping in view of the statement made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the present Civil Writ Petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

6. However, in case, the petitioner submits a representation to respondent No.3, in terms of order dated 20.02.2025 (Annexure P-14) within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, the respondent No.3 shall take necessary action in accordance with law, after affording due opportunity of hearing to all the stakeholders, within a period of six weeks from the date of submission of the said representation/application, if any.

17.03.2025
M.Sikka

(HARSH BUNGER)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No