



CWP-8163-2025

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

135

CWP-8163-2025 (O & M)  
Date of decision: 24.03.2025

Paramjeet Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY**

Present : Mr. Amardeep Singh Mann, Advocate for the petitioner.  
Mr. Arun Gupta, DAG, Punjab.

**AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)**

1. Prayer made in the present petition is for directing the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner for appointment as a Multi-Purpose Health Worker (Female) under SC (R&O) Category.
2. The submission of learned counsel is that the petitioner obtained 51 marks and respondent No.5 also had the same marks but has been appointed despite he being also elder in age.
3. Learned State counsel, on instructions, states that the last selected candidate in the category under which the petitioner applied had secured 53 marks and as such, she could not be appointed. Insofar as respondent No.5 is concerned, she has been considered in General Category, being less than 37 years, the upper age limit prescribed, whereas the petitioner could not be as she was 40 years & 4 months at the time of Advertisement, which fact her learned counsel could not controvert.



CWP-8163-2025

4. No other argument has been raised.
5. In view the above, the present petition is dismissed.

24.03.2025

ashok

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No  
Whether reportable : Yes / No