



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

107

LPA-2426-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 22.08.2025

Takht Sri Keshgarh Sahib

...Appellant

Versus

Financial Commissioner, Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present:- Mr. Gurmohan Singh Bedi, Advocate,
for the appellant.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

CM-6105-LPA-2025

For the reasons mentioned in the application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act for condonation of delay of 98 days in filing the appeal, which is supported by affidavit of the Manager of the appellant, the same is allowed and delay of 98 days in filing the appeal is condoned.

CM stands disposed of.

LPA-2426-2025 (O&M)

1. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the present appeal may kindly be disposed of having not been pressed any further with liberty to raise all pleas before the authority concerned.
2. Ordered accordingly.
3. It is made clear that any claim raised by the appellant to manage the property on behalf of Gurudwara Baba Shri Chand Ji Udaseen,



against which proceedings are said to be pending before the Assistant Collector 1st Grade, be decided on merits rather than being influenced by the judgment. It may be noticed that the managing of Gurudwara and claiming ownership of the Gurudwara are two different things and this Court is sure that the said difference will be appreciated by the Assistant Collector 1st Grade concerned.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

**(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE**

August 22, 2025
harish

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No