



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-9829-2025  
DECIDED ON: 15.09.2025

RANJU KUMARI AND ANOTHER .....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS .....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH.

Present: Mr. S.K. Sinha, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed by the petitioners, seeking issuance of direction to the official respondents, to protect their life and liberty from the hands of private respondents.

2. On 09.09.2025, following order was passed by this Court:-

*“1. Present petition has been filed by the petitioners seeking issuance of direction to the official respondents, to protect their life and liberty from the hands of private respondents.*

*2. It is noticed that both the petitioners and private respondent Nos. 4 and 5 are shown to be residents of the State of Himachal Pradesh. In this regard, learned State Counsel is directed to verify the antecedents of the petitioners, particularly with respect to their permanent place of residence. Upon verification, a detailed status report shall be filed in the matter on or before the next date of hearing.*

*3. List again on 15.09.2025.”*

3. Today, learned State counsel has filed status report dated 14.09.2025 in the Court today and the same is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at the appropriate place on the file.

4. Paragraph Nos.5 and 6 of the status report, are reproduced here under:-

*“5. That as per the order dated 09/09/2025 passed by this Hon'ble court, investigating recorded the statement of petitioner no. 2 is recorded which is also signed by both the petitioners in which it is stated that they are not permanent resident of the said address he just occasionally visits his one of the relative namely Kunta Devi of the said village. It is pertinent to mention here that while suffering the statement present petitioners mentioned his address as village Lamlehri Ps Sadar Una Distt Una Himachal Pardesh and also admitted that he is resident of the said address. That true translated copy of the statement has been annexed her with as ANNEXURE-R1/T.*

*6. That upon this Investigating officer had also recorded the statement of the said relative namely Kunta Devi which was also attested by Sarpanch of the village in which she also admitted the fact that present petitioners are not permanent resident of village of Kunta Devi/which was mentioned by them in the present petition actually they are permanent residents of his village Lamlehri Distt Una. That the true translated copy of the said statement has been annexed here with as ANNEXURE-R2/T.”*

5. Learned State counsel refers to the statement of Kunta Devi, by giving whose address, petitioners have attempted to invoke the jurisdiction of this Court. The said statement of Kunta Devi (Annexure R-2/T), reads as under:-

*“Statement of Kunta Devi W/o Kuda Singh R/o Village UparliNalhoti PS NurpurBediDisttRupnagar aged about 34 years Phone No. 82642-44068*

*Stated that i am resident of above said address and do house hold chaos. My sister Darshana Devi W/o Dharampal R/o LamlehriDisttUnagot married there, whose Daughter Ritu Devi W/o Rajiv Kumar S/o Subhash Chand R/o Lamlehri (lower Lamlehri) was married. Ritu Devi's brother-in-law Daljitekumar occasionally visits us who did love marriage, who occasionally visits us and left with us. He is not permanent resident of my village who permanently resides at his village LamlehriDisttUna, statement got recorded which was listened and spoken to me by my husband which is correct.”*

6. Learned State counsel informs the Court that the fact of the petitioners not residing at the given address in the State of Punjab, has been confirmed by the village Sarpanch, also.

7. In view of the above, no direction is required to be issued. Consequently, **present petition stands dismissed as not maintainable.**

15.09.2025

*Lavisha*

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)**  
**JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned*      *Yes/No*  
*Whether reportable*              *Yes/No*