



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**FAO No.672 of 2025 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 04.02.2025**

Ashwani

.....Appellant.

Versus

Smt. Rajni

.....Respondent.

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA  
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

\*\*\*\*\*

Present:- Mr. Hitesh Kumar Sammi, Advocate  
for the appellant.

**SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.(Oral)**

This is an appeal filed against the order dated 19.12.2024 passed by the learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Sonipat, whereby the application under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, filed by the respondent-wife has been allowed and an amount of Rs.8,000/- per month has been directed to be paid to the wife as maintenance *pendente lite*, who is also having liability of a daughter with her.

2. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant is a labourer and is not able to make both ends meet and even otherwise, before COVID-19, he was earning Rs.10,000/- per month.

3. We find that the Family Court has rightly come to the conclusion that even a labourer can earn Rs.15,000/- to Rs.20,000/- per now-a-days.



4. Keeping in view the afore-said facts, the order passed by the Family Court does not warrant any interference and the present appeal is, accordingly, dismissed.

5. Pending civil misc. application also stands disposed of.

**(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)**  
**JUDGE**

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)**  
**JUDGE**

**February 04, 2025**

Yag Dutt

*Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No*  
*Whether Reportable: Yes/No*