



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

ESA No.33 of 2025 (O&M)

Date of Order:21.04.2025

Bishan Kaur and another

.Appellants

Versus

Amit Rai and another

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

**Present: Mr. Lupil Gupta, Advocate
Mr. Sandeep, Advocate
for the appellants.**

ANIL KSHETARPAL, JUDGE (Oral)

1. Admittedly, the appellant herein purchased the suit property after decree for possession by way of specific performance of the agreement to sell was passed in favour of the decree holder on 27.04.2022. The objections filed by the appellant have been concurrently dismissed by the Executing Court which in appeal has been affirmed by the Appellate Court.
2. The learned counsel representing the appellants claim that there is a prior agreement to sell date 12.11.2018, in favour of the appellant.
3. This court has considered the submissions of the learned counsel representing the appellants.
4. The sale deed in favour of the appellant is 09.12.2022, whereas the judgment and decree sought to be executed was passed on 27.04.2022. It is obvious that that the judgment debtor has executed a sale deed in favour of the appellant in order to obstruct execution of the decree.
5. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts and discussion, no ground to interfere is made out.



6. Dismissed.

7. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

April 21, 2025

nt

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No