



CR No.5620 of 2025 (O&M)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

143

CR No.5620 of 2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 21.08.2025

HAWA SINGH AND ANOTHERPetitioner(s)
Vs
STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Ms. Nisha Kanojia, Advocate for
Mr. Shivansh Malik, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Komal Sharma, D.A.G., Haryana.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)

[1]. By way of present petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, prayer has been made for issuance of direction to the learned Executing Court to decide the Execution No.679/2022 dated 25.11.2022 titled as '*Devki Nandan s/o Niranjana Dass and others vs. State of Haryana and others*' within a time bound period in view of directions issued by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of '*Rahul S. Shah vs. Jinendra Kumar Gandhi and others*' reported as "(2021) 6 SCC 418".

[2]. Admittedly, certain land owned by the petitioners was acquired vide notification dated 15.12.2006 and 14.12.2007 issued under Sections 4 and 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (for short 'the 1894 Act') respectively, followed by an award dated 03.07.2009 passed by the Land Acquisition Collector, whereby the petitioner(s) and other persons were granted compensation to the tune of Rs.20 lakhs per acre. Feeling dissatisfied, the landowners filed petition under Section 18



CR No.5620 of 2025 (O&M)

of the 1894 Act, which was decided by the Reference Court vide award dated 05.07.2014.

[3]. Aggrieved thereof, the petitioners/landowners filed Regular First Appeal before this Court, which was allowed vide order dated 16.03.2022 enhancing the compensation to the tune of Rs.42.51 lakh per acre. Later the petitioners filed Execution Petition No.679/2022 on 25.11.2022 before the learned Addl. District Judge, Rohtak and the same is pending since then for making payment of compensation to the petitioners, however, till date, the enhanced amount of compensation has not been disbursed to the petitioner(s) and other land owners.

[4]. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners being aggrieved of the non-disbursal of amount of compensation have filed the present revision petition for issuance of direction to the Executing Court concerned to decide the execution application expeditiously, in a time bound manner.

[5]. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper book.

[6]. A perusal of record shows that execution application filed by the petitioners on 25.11.2022 is still pending and the petitioners are waiting to get their amount of compensation on the basis of order dated 16.03.2022 passed by this Court.

[7]. In *Rahul S. Shah vs. Jinendra Kumar Gandhi and others* reported as (2021) 6 SCC 418 the Hon'ble Supreme Court held as follows:-

“That the executing Court must dispose of execution proceedings within 6 months from the date of filing which may be extended only by recording reasons in writing for such delay.”



CR No.5620 of 2025 (O&M)

[8]. In view of above, the Court of Addl. District Judge, Rohtak-cum-Executing Court is requested to dispose of the Executing Petition No.679/2022 as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of next 04 months from today, as any further delay is going to cause serious prejudice to the rights of the petitioners/landowners.

[9]. It is made clear that nothing said hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the execution proceedings.

[10]. Petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms. Pending application(s), if any shall also stand disposed of.

August 21, 2025

Atik

**(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No