

2025:PHHC:131085



212

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-45171-2025

Date of decision: September 22, 2025

Ajay Rana @ Pintu

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL**

**Present:** Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Manav Bajaj, Advocate for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)**

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the  
Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of  
anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.237 dated 07.07.2025,  
under Sections 109(1), 115, 190, 191(3), 351(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya  
Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNS') and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 (for  
short 'Arms Act'), registered at Police Station Kunjpura, District Karnal.

2. On 25.08.2025, the following order was passed:

*“Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.237 dated 07.07.2025  
registered for offences punishable under Section 109(1), 115, 190, 191(3),  
351(2) of BNS and Section 25 of the Arms Act at Police Station Kunjpura,  
District Karnal; the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482  
of BNSS seeking pre-arrest bail.*

*Inter alia contends that the case in hand is of version and  
crossversion; no specific injury is attributed to the petitioner; the role  
ascribed to the petitioner is of providing a country made fire arm which is  
alleged to have been used in the incident but the same has not hit anybody.  
Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner is willing to join  
investigation and cooperate therein.*

*Adjourned to 22.09.2025.*

*State as also complainant are is at liberty to file reply, if advised.  
The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 29.08.2025 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of BharatiyaNagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.”*

3. Learned State counsel (on instructions) has stated that pursuant to the order dated 25.08.2025, the petitioner has indeed joined investigation, and his custodial interrogation is not required.

4. Learned counsel for the complainant has vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner by arguing that there are direct allegations against the petitioner, and in case, the petitioner is extended the concession of anticipatory bail, there is all likelihood that he may abscond from the process of justice and interfere in the prosecution evidence.

5. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the record, and in view of the stance of the State, the interim order dated 25.08.2025 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

6. Petition stands allowed, accordingly.

7. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

8. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

9. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

10. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

**(SUMEET GOEL)**  
**JUDGE**

**September 22, 2025**

mahavir

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No