



213 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

RSA-4522-1999 (O&M)

Date of Decision: May 21, 2025

Jagsir Singh and another

... Appellants

Versus

Thana Singh and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- None.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(Oral)

Notice sent to both the appellants, namely, Jagsir Singh and Jagtar Singh has been received back. Appellant No.2 has been served personally; whereas appellant No.1 has been served through brother. However, nobody is putting in appearance for any of the appellants, clearly indicating that they are no longer interested in pursuing this appeal. Even otherwise, a perusal of the paper book would reveal that suit for declaration filed by Mohinder Kaur, the predecessor-in-interest of the present appellants was partly decreed to the extent that she was owner of 18 kanals 13 marlas out of 232 kanals 13 marlas. The appeal filed by said Mohinder Kaur, i.e. predecessor-in-interest of the appellants was dismissed by the First Appellate Court on 24.05.1999. The present appeal is against the concurrent findings of facts, as recorded by the Courts below.

However, as noticed above that despite service, none of the appellants are coming forward to pursue this appeal. In the circumstances, present appeal is hereby dismissed in default for want of prosecution.

May 21, 2025

sarita

**(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No