



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

118

**Regular Second Appeal No.875 of 2024 (O & M)
Date of decision :-20.01.2025**

Rakesh**.....Appellant****Versus****Mamta and others****.....Respondents****CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA**

Present:- None for the appellant.

NIDHI GUPTA J. (Oral)

The present regular second appeal has been preferred by the plaintiff aggrieved by the judgment and decree dated 19.02.2020, passed by Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.) Panipat, whereby the suit preferred by the plaintiff-appellant seeking a decree for permanent injunction on the averments that the plaintiff was the tenant in the suit property since July, 2015 at the rent of Rs.20,000/- per month, was dismissed and the counter claim preferred therein for mandatory injunction against the plaintiff to remove his articles from the demised premises was decreed; as well as by the judgment and decree dated 29.02.2024, passed by the first Appellate Court in appeal preferred there against.

Order sheets show that except on one date i.e. 01.4.2024 learned arguing counsel appeared and thereafter on six dates i.e. 23.4.2024,, 14.5.2024, 18.7.2024, 25.9.2024, 15.10.2024 and 20.1.2025 the matter has been adjourned either due to non appearance of learned arguing counsel or on request of proxy counsel appeared on



behalf of arguing counsel. Today neither the appellant nor his counsel are present to pursue this appeal. It seems that neither the appellant nor his counsel are interested to pursue the present appeal.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

January 20, 2025

Vijay Asija

(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes / No

Whether Reportable Yes / No