



123

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.15777 of 2025 (O&amp;M)

Date of Decision: 31.07.2025

TAJINDER KAUR AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA**

Present: Mr. Lovepreet Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.

\* \* \* \* \*

**ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (Oral)**

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the respondents have illegally demanded Preferred Location Charges (PLC) which were paid by the petitioners under protest. He submits that a similar petition bearing CWP-9717 2019 titled *Daulat Ram Bhatti Vs. State of Punjab and others*, and other connected matters has been allowed on 20.02.2025 whereby the demand raised of additional PLC was quashed and further directions were issued to GMADA for the refund of the amount paid towards PLC by the petitioners therein.

Issue notice to the respondents.

Mr. A.S. Khara, Sr. DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent No.1. Ms. Avin Sandhu, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.2 and 3. Learned counsel for the respondents does not dispute that the petitioners are squarely covered by the judgment in the case of *Daulat Ram Bhatti* (supra).

The petition stands disposed of in the same terms as the judgment in the case of *Daulat Ram Bhatti* (supra).

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)**  
**JUDGE**

31.07.2025

sandeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No