

2025:PHHC:051717



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

749

CWP-11187-2004 (O&M)
Date of decision: 22.04.2025

Tara Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Satnam Preet Singh, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer made in the present petition for directing the respondents to grant the benefit of stepping up of the petitioner's pay, might not due to efflux of time, even be surviving.
2. Learned State counsel refers to para 3 of the preliminary submission of the written statement wherein as per Instructions dated 06.01.1979 further clarified by letter dated 20.02.1979 that no decision had been taken to grant premature increment to those who were on strike and the claim of the fixation of pay under Clause (b) to proviso 2nd of Rule 8(1) of Punjab Civil Services (Revised Pay) Rules 1988, was admissible only to the ones who exercised option within the stipulated period which petitioner had not.
3. Disposed of reserving the petitioner with a liberty to get it revived in case *lis* still subsists.

22.04.2025

ashok

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No