

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:001394



**Date of Decision: 09.01.2025**

**CWP No.5053 of 1999**

**Hargian and others**

**....Petitioners**

**vs.**

**Haryana Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited**

**....Respondent**

**CWP No.5199 of 1999**

**Mahinder Singh and others**

**....Petitioners**

**vs.**

**Haryana Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited and another**

**....Respondents**

**CWP No. 4635 of 2001**

**Giri Raj Prashad and others**

**....Petitioners**

**vs.**

**Haryana Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited and another**

**....Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL**

Present: Mr. B.P.S.Thakur, Advocate for  
Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate  
for the petitioners in all the petitions



Mr. Babbar Bhan, Advocate and  
Mr. R.S.Chahar, Advocate  
for the respondents in the all the petitions

\*\*\*

**JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)**

1. By this common order, CWP No. 5053 of 1999, CWP No. 5199 of 1999 and CWP- 4635 of 2001 are hereby adjudicated as common questions of law and facts are involved. With the consent of both sides, facts are borrowed from CWP No. 5053 of 1999.
2. The petitioners through instant petition are seeking direction to respondents to grant pay scale of Rs. 1350-2200 with effect from 01.01.1986 instead of 01.05.1990.
3. The petitioners are working as Linemen. Assistant Linemen approached this Court seeking higher pay scale. On account of orders passed by this Court, the Assistant Linemen were granted higher pay scale with effect from 01.01.1986. The said pay scale was equal to pay scale payable to petitioners. They preferred representation before authorities seeking removal of anomaly on the ground that Assistant Linemen are their juniors and cannot be paid equal pay scale. Considering grievance of petitioners, the respondents extended higher pay scale to petitioners, however, with effect from 01.05.1990 instead of 01.01.1986 i.e. the date of eruption of anomaly.
4. Mr. B.P.S.Thakur, Advocate submits that issue involved in the instant petition is no more *res integra* and stands settled by a Full Bench of this Court in **Rajbir Singh and others vs. Haryana State Electricity Board and others, 2009 SCC OnLine P&H 1230.**
5. Mr. Babbar Bhan, Advocate expressed his inability to controvert applicability of aforesaid judgment to the instant case.



6. In the wake of statement of both sides, the petitions stand disposed of in terms of judgment on Full Bench of this Court in **Rajbir Singh (Supra)**,

**(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**09.01.2025**  
paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	
Whether reportable:		No