



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

\*\*\*\*\*

**CWP-22741-2025**

**Date of Decision: 06.08.2025**

Suresh Kumar and others

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER**

**Present:-** Mr. Saurabh Bajaj, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

\*\*\*\*\*

**HARSH BUNGER J. (ORAL)**

Prayer in the present writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction especially in the nature of prohibition restraining the respondent-Panchayat and respondent No.5 (Seema Devi) from dismantling the 70 years old road community Dharamshala situated at Khasra No.212 of Village Alampur in District Kurukshetra, under the grab of resolution and notice of auction (Annexure P-12) only with an intention to settle the score with the previous Panchayat and further to disrespect the Road Community by adopting the aforesaid mechanism in view of political enmity for taking the revenge for the family of respondent No.5, who is the existing Sarpanch and passed the aforesaid resolution apparently for settling score with the previous region and under the family pressure.

1.1. A further prayer has been made for restraining the respondent-Panchayat from auctioning the material of Road Community Dharamshala, which is to be held on 06.08.2025 as per the impugned Auction Notice dated Nil (Annexure P-12).

2. On advance service of copy of petition, Ms. Upasana Dhawan, AAG, Haryana appears on behalf of the respondent-State.

2.1. Learned State counsel has handed over a copy of letter bearing Memo No.1436 dated 21.03.2025 in the Court, which is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

2.2. While referring to the aforesaid letter dated 21.03.2025, learned State counsel submits that the Chaupal/Dharamshala in question, has been declared to be '*condemned*'.

3. After arguing for some time, when this Court was not inclined to grant any indulgence; accordingly, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the instant writ petition.

4. Keeping in view the aforesaid submission made by learned counsel for the petitioners, the present writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

5. All pending application(s), if any, shall also stand closed.

06.08.2025  
*Pd*

**(HARSH BUNGER)**  
**JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No