



**CWP-1350-2020 & connected cases -1-**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**260 (04)**

**CWP-1350-2020 (O&M)  
Date of Decision :20.01.2025**

**Amrit Chopra and others**

**...Petitioners**

**Versus**

**Union of India and others**

**....Respondents**

**CWP-19505-2021**

**Prem Chand and others**

**...Petitioners**

**Versus**

**Union of India and others**

**....Respondents**

**CWP-6511-2020 (O&M)**

**Ram Nath and others**

**...Petitioners**

**Versus**

**Union of India and others**

**....Respondents**

**CWP-4133-2020 (O&M)**

**Sukhbir Singh and others**

**...Petitioners**

**Versus**

**Union of India and others**

**....Respondents**



**CWP-1350-2020 & connected cases -2-**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: None for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Rajesh Hooda, Advocate for respondent-EPFO No.2 to 4 in (CWP-1350-2020 & CWP-19505-2021) & for respondents No. 2 & 3 in CWP-6511 & 4133 of 2020.

\* \* \*

**Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)**

1. In this bunch of writ petitions, prayer of the petitioner(s) is for the grant of pension on their actual wages and not on the basis of the deposit/ceiling fixed on the maximum wages.

2. Learned counsel for the respondent-EPFO submits that there was a option with the petitioners to opt for the grant of benefit of pension on their actual salary drawn by depositing the due amount with the parties concerned but the petitioner(s) never availed the said option and now the petitioner(s) wants the same benefit, which cannot be extended after the due date to opt for the same is over.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent-EPFO further submits that the petitioners never objected fixation of their pension but now they have filed present petitions after the year 2014 when there is no right exists with them to exercise the option for revising of their pension on the basis of actual wages drawn by them by depositing the due amount with the parties concerned.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent-EPFO submits that petitioners cannot equate themselves with the employees, who exercised the option and deposited the amount keeping in view the actual salary being drawn by them so as to enhance their pension.



**CWP-1350-2020 & connected cases -3-**

5. As no one appears on behalf of the petitioner(s).
6. Dismissed for non-prosecution.
7. Civil miscellaneous application pending, if any, is also disposed of.
8. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected cases.

**January 20, 2025**  
*aarti*

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**  
**JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes*  
*Whether reportable : No*