



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**LPA-378-2025(O&M)**

**Reserved on : 13<sup>th</sup> of February, 2025**

**Date of Decision: 3<sup>rd</sup> of March, 2025**

**CHAUDHARY SUNITA RAMKRISHAN** .....Appellant (s)

**V/s.**

**STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS** .....Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA**  
**HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

Present: Mr.Sandeep Goyat, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr.Vivek Chauhan, Addl. A.G., Haryana,  
for respondent No.1-State.

Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate and  
Ms. Supriya Arora, Advocate for respondent No.2- HPSC.

\*\*\*\*

**SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.**

1. The present LPA assails the order dated 08.01.2025 passed by the learned Single Judge in CWP-30208-2024 and connected cases whereby the same were dismissed.

2. Learned counsel for the appellant limits his arguments to the challenge made to the answer key and submits that the answers finalized by the respondent No.2-HPSC were *prima facie* demonstrably and patently wrong.

3. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the correct answer to question No.20, as per the appellant, is option (A) i.e. *Resist the change*, whereas the answer of respondent No.2-HPSC to the said question is option (D) i.e. *Take training*.

4. Learned counsel for the appellant has invited attention of this Court to Para 13.4 of the NCERT Book of 11<sup>th</sup> Class relating to the



computerized accounting system wherein, the limitations of computerized accounting system were discussed and submits that whenever the accounting system is computerized, there is a resistance.

5. Learned counsel for the appellants submits that the result declared by the examining body is open to judicial review and further submits that the appellant could not have been deprived of the benefit of the correct answer which she had given to the concerned question.

6. We had issued notice in this Appeal and counsel had appeared on behalf of the respondent No.2-HPSC. He has placed before us the report of the subject experts in a sealed cover.

7. We have considered the submissions of learned counsel for the appellant. It would be apposite to quote question No.20 and Para 13.4 of the NCERT Book of 11<sup>th</sup> Class, which are as under:-

**“Question No.20**

*When any manual accounting system is transformed into a computerized system, what do the employees of an organization under:*

- (A) Resist the change*
- (B) Accept the change*
- (C) Do nothing*
- (D) Take training*
- (E) Question not attempted.”*

8. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the correct option is “A” while the Expert Committee has reached to a conclusion that the correct option is “D’.

9. While dismissing the similar appeal today, i.e. *LPA-322-2025*, titled as *Arjun Singh and Others* Vs. *State of Haryana and Others*, we have considered the law as laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court from



time to time and also the recent judgment passed by the Coordinate Bench in *CWP-4917-2025* titled as *Diksha Kalson* Vs. *State of Haryana and others* decided on 28.02.2025, relating to Haryana Civil Service (Judicial Branch) Examination and has concluded as under:-

*“25. We find that in the present case, the Expert Committee was formed for examining the objections raised and since the constitution of the Expert Committee was of the Professors in the subject and they have reached to a particular conclusion which we have noticed hereinabove, this Court would not sit over and examine the report of the Expert Committee, as we are not experts in the field, unless there is an allegation of malafide or malice against the Expert Committee.*

*26. In view of the aforesaid, this LPA stands **dismissed.**”*

10. We, therefore, do not find any reason to interfere with the impugned order passed by the learned Single Bench. Accordingly, the present LPA also stands **dismissed.**

11. The Bench Secretary is directed to return the Expert Committee’s report in a sealed cover to the learned counsel for the respondent No.2-HPSC.

12. All pending applications in this case are disposed of accordingly.

**[SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA]**  
**JUDGE**

**[MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA]**  
**JUDGE**

**March 3, 2025**

*Ess Kay*

*Whether speaking / reasoned* : Yes / No  
*Whether Reportable* : Yes / No