

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No.1217 of 2020  
Date of Decision :10.08.2020

Kishan Bahadur ...Petitioner

Versus

Satish Chandra and others ....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr.Kamaldip Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.  
Ms. Sudeepti Sharma, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

\*\*\*

**B.S. Walia, J. (VC)**

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of Covid-19 pandemic.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that CWP No.2127 of 2015 was allowed vide order dated 02.05.2019, impugned order dated 31.03.2014 was quashed and the respondents were directed to allot constabulary number to the petitioner on the basis of his extraordinary and outstanding service record within a period of 06 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of order but despite lapse of sufficient period of time, needful has not been done, therefore, the respondents are liable to be punished under the contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

3. Learned Addl. A.G., Punjab, on the other hand, contends that due to circumstances beyond the control of the respondents, needful could not be done and in case 04 weeks more time is granted from today, orders in compliance with the decision in CWP No.2127 of 2015 would be passed.

4. The same satisfies learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. Accordingly, in the light of the position as noted above, the contempt petition is ***disposed of***, by granting liberty to respondent No.2 to pass orders in compliance of order of this Court dated 02.05.2019 in CWP No.2127 of 2015 within 04 weeks from today.

**(B.S. Walia)**  
**Judge**

**August 10, 2020**

*'Rajneesh-Amit'*

*Whether speaking/ reasoned* : *Yes/No*  
*Whether reportable* : *Yes/No*