



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**126**

**CRM-M-52462-2025 (O&M)**

**DECIDED ON: 22.09.2025**

**RAJ SINGH & OTHERS**

**.....PETITIONERS**

**VERSUS**

**STATE OF PUNJAB & OTHERS**

**.....RESPONDENTS**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA PRATAP SINGH**

Present: Mr. Ritesh Grover, Advocate for the petitioners.

**SURYA PRATAP SINGH, J (ORAL)**

1. By virtue of this petition, a direction has been sought for respondent No.2 to initiate action against the respondent No.3 and other police officials of Police Station City Fazilka and respondents No.4 & 5 on the ground that they are illegally harassing the petitioners and their family members.

2. It has been contended by learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners and their family members are being threatened by the respondent No.3 and other police officials of Police Station City Fazilka, and also by the CIA Staff, Fazilka and that there is apprehension that in view of threat the petitioners may be implicated in a false case.



3. However, a perusal of record shows that against the alleged misconduct of respondent No.3, any complaint in writing has not been made to the respondent No.2 and the petitioners have straightaway approached this Court for seeking the abovementioned directions. In the instant petition, there are allegations of misconduct against the low ranking officers, and therefore, the appropriate course available to the petitioners is to approach the senior police authorities, i.e. the respondent No.2 or above.

4. Since by-passing the senior police officer, the present petition has been filed, this petition is hereby forwarded to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka (respondent No.2) with a direction to treat it as a representation and dispose of it by passing a speaking order within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of copy of instant order. It is however made clear that any finding with regard to contents of the petition is not being returned by this Court and the SSP, Fazilka shall have liberty to independently examine the allegation leveled by the petitioner and take a decision at this own level.

5. In view of above, the present petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

22.09.2025

*Gaurav Thakur*

**(SURYA PRATAP SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No