



219

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-32862-2025**

**Date of Decision:11.07.2025**

Arun

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

**Coram :** Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

**Present :** Mr. Govind Mor, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rupinder Singh Jhand, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

\*\*\*

**N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)**

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.0865 dated 10.12.2023 registered under Sections 323, 324, 34, 506 of IPC, later on added Sections 326, 307 of IPC, at Police Station Urban Estate Hisar, District Hisar.

2. The FIR in the present case was registered on the basis of the statement made by Sikandar Singh son of Ram Kirpal. As per the allegations levelled by the complainant, Raman, co-accused had caught hold of Sikandar Singh, whereas, the injuries were caused to him by the petitioner with knife. He further contends that in fact, the injured had left the hospital against medical advise and later on, medical evidence was manipulated by the complainant side. The petitioner was arrested in the present case on 08.01.2024 and is in custody for the last 01 year and 06 months. After completion of investigation, challan was presented against him. Now, only three witnesses have been examined by

the prosecution and further custody of the petitioner will not serve any meaningful purpose.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that four more cases were ordered to be registered against the petitioner. However, he admits that the petitioner is on bail in the said cases.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. In the present case, the prosecution has been able to examine only three witnesses so far and the trial is not likely to conclude in near future. The injured has already been discharged from the hospital. From a perusal of Annexure P-3, it is apparent that Raman, co-accused has already been admitted to bail by this Court, vide order dated 10.12.2024 passed in CRM-M-33884-2024.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned, subject to the following conditions:-

*(i) The petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case, so as to dissuade him to disclose such facts to the Court or to any other authority.*

*(ii) The petitioner shall remain present before the Court on the dates fixed for hearing of the case.*

*(iii) The petitioner shall not absent himself from the Court proceedings except on the prior permission of the Court concerned.*

*(iv) The petitioner shall surrender his passport, if any, (if already not surrendered), and in case he is not holder of the same, he shall swear an affidavit to that effect.*

*(v) The petitioner shall also file his affidavit before the concerned Court, mentioning his ordinary place of residence and number of mobile phone, which shall be used by him during the pendency of the trial. In case of change of place of residence/mobile number, he shall share the details with the concerned Court/learned Trial Court.*

*(vi) In case, the petitioner involves in any other criminal activity, during the pendency of the trial, it shall be viewed seriously.*

*(vii) The concerned Court may insist on two heavy local sureties and may also impose any other condition, in accordance with law, while accepting the bails bonds and surety bonds of the petitioner.*

**11.07.2025**  
hemlata

**(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No