



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-A-1265-MA-2014  
DECIDED ON: 29.07.2025

HOSHIAR CHAND SINGLA

.....APPELLANT

VERSUS

SATISH KUMAR GOEL AND ANOTHER

.....RESPONDENTS

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL**

Present: Mr. Surinder Mohan Sharma, Advocate  
for the appellant.

Mr. Arihant Jain, Advocate with  
Mr. Rishav Jain, Advocate and  
Mr. Shivaly Singla, Advocate for respondent.

**SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)**

1. The present application has been preferred under Section 378(4) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter 'Cr.P.C.') seeking grant of leave to appeal the judgment of acquittal dated 29.04.2013 passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, SAS Nagar, Mohali in a case filed under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 (hereinafter 'NI Act').

2. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in *M/s Celestium Financial vs. A. Gnanasekaran Etc., 2025(3) RCR (Criminal) 208*, after considerable discussion and comparative interpretation of Sections 372 and 378(4) of Cr.P.C., concluded that the victim has a right to file an appeal under Section 372 of Cr.P.C. before the Court of Sessions.

3. Therefore, in view of the judgment rendered by the Apex Court in *Celestium Financial (supra)*, the present application seeking leave to appeal is remanded back to the learned Sessions Judge, SAS Nagar, Mohali with a direction to treat the same as filed under Section 372 of the Cr.P.C. and entrust it to appropriate Court for its disposal.

4. The Registry is directed to send the complete paper-book and the record of the case to the learned Sessions Judge, SAS Nagar, Mohali forthwith.

5. Disposed of accordingly.

6. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)  
JUDGE

29.07.2025

*Meenu*

*Whether speaking/reasoned* :Yes/No

*Whether reportable* :Yes/No