



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No. 202

CRM-M-45536-2024

Date of Decision:28.04.2025

Gurinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Ms. Ramta Chowdhary, DAG, Punjab

Ms. Shruti Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Nitin Thatai, Advocate
for respondents No. 4 and 5.

-

H.S.GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents No. 4 & 5 submits that the loan account of the petitioner has been regularised and the vehicle in question has been released from the police station and the present petition be dismissed as having become infructuous.
3. In view of the above, the present petition stands dismissed as having become infructuous.

28.04.2025

dinesh

(**H.S. GREWAL**)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No