


2025:PHHC:131470



**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

251

**CRWP-5840-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 22.09.2025**

Rajni Kaur (minor) through her next friend and another ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present:- Mr. A. S. Sekhon, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vivek Sharma, AAG, Punjab.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)


1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the official respondents No.2 to 4 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondent Nos.5 to 7 and take action on their representation dated 27.05.2025 (Annexure P-3).

2. On 02.06.2025, the following order was passed by this Court:

“...8. On considering the aforesaid circumstances and the fact that petitioner No.1 is a minor and has approached the Court, it becomes incumbent upon the Court in its capacity as parents patriae to examine what is best in the interest of the minor and it is deemed appropriate to direct respondent No.2 to take all steps in the present case as per the directions given in Khuspreet Singh’s case (supra).

9. The petitioners are directed to appear before the Senior Superintendent of Police, District Moga on 09.06.2025, failing which respondent No.2 shall depute a Child

2025:PHHC:131470



Welfare Police Officer to produce the minor before the Child Welfare Committee within a period of one week thereafter. The Child Welfare Committee constituted under the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act 2015, shall send a compliance report to this Court on 10.07.2025.

10. Registry of this Court is directed to send a copy of this order along with the petition and annexures to the respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, District Moga, as well as to the concerned Child Welfare Committee of Moga, for necessary compliance.

11. A compliance report be furnished by the Child Welfare Committee to this Court within a period of two months from the receipt of a copy of this order.”

3. Report by way of affidavit of the Chairman, Child Welfare Committee, Moga has been filed. It is submitted therein and learned State counsel has argued that petitioner No. 1 has since attained majority and presently, she is residing with the mother of petitioner No. 2, who is lodged in jail. She has stated that she does not want to live with her mother.

4. In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks no further action in the matter.

5. Keeping in view the position explained above, no further orders are called for in the present petition and the same is disposed of accordingly.

22.09.2025

*Wassem Ansari***(MANISHA BATRA)**
JUDGE*Whether speaking/reasoned**Yes/No**Whether reportable**Yes/No*